

Heterogeneous biofilms can help to stabilize long-term flux in gravity-driven ultra-low pressure ultrafiltration systems

N.Derlon, M. Peter-Varbanets, W.Pronk and E.Morgenroth

Nicolas.derlon@eawag.ch

 \mathbf{O}

Eawag: Das Wasserforschungs-Institut des ETH-Bereichs



900 M people using unprotected water sources (Data: MDG 2008)

500 million people: health problems due to the lack of safe drinking water

5.3 billion people (83%) → recontamination of water (Data: WHO, HWTS Network, 2006)

Solution

Decentralized membrane systems→ reduced risk of water related diseases (Montgomery, M.A., Elimelech, M Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 17-24 (2007).

Effective, low-cost, robust and less chemical- and energy- intensive than other technologies *Shannon M.A. et al. Nature 452, 301-310 (2008).*



Gravity-driven ultra-low pressure ultrafiltration system

No energy requirement

No backwashing

No cleaning

No cross-flow



Picture from Butler R., 2009

Hydrostatic pressure 65mBar



Gravity-driven ultra-low pressure ultrafiltration



Flux stabilization due to the bacterial activity in the BFL

What is the process governing the development of different BFL structure?

Peter-Varbanet et al. (2010). Water Research 43 (2).





CONCENTRATION GRADIENTS



#1: How does protozoan grazing influence the biofouling layer structure?

#2: How does the development of an open structure help to maintain high flux?



Experimental Approach



Low-PG: inhibition using cycloheximide

Nat.-PG: no control

High-PG: inoculation of the system using *Tetrahymena Pyriformis*



#1: How does protozoan grazing influence the biofouling layer structure?

#1: How does protozoan grazing influence the biofouling layer structure at the mesoscale?



Dynamic structure of the biofouling layer "Nat.-PG"

Homogeneous, flat basal layer → open and heterogeneous

#1: How does protozoan grazing influence the biofouling layer structure at the mesoscale?



#1: How does protozoan grazing influence the biofouling layer structure at the mesoscale?











Optical Coherence Tomography

Protozoan grazing favors the growth in z-direction at the meso-scale

OCT images without treatment



#2 How does the development of an open structure help to maintain high flux?



#2: How does the development of an open structure help to maintain high flux?



No membrane biofouling

Dynamic flux evolution with protozoa

Stable flux without grazing



#2: How does the development of an open structure help to maintain high flux?





#2: How does the development of an open structure help to maintain high flux?







How are structural heterogeneities and system performances linked?



aquatic r

Image analysis to measure the "uncovered" membrane fraction (ImageJ : <u>http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/</u>)

How are structural heterogeneities and system performances linked?



× with protozoan grazing

 \Box without protozoan grazing

aquatic r

Small variation of coverage induces a significant increase of the flux, why?

Membrane coverage – after one month



Low PG

Nat. PG







- All bacterial cells (SYBR® Gold) — Particles and the membrane (Reflection)

Membrane coverage – after one month



Low PG

Nat. PG







Thinner local thickness induces smaller local hydraulic resistance



Conclusions and perspectives



Protozoan shapes the BFLstructure. The change in the filtration performances is explained by the reduction of the surface coverage associated with a thinner basal layer





System is suitable to provide drinking/cooking water: 60 - 15 people per day with 1 m² of membrane considering 2-8 L/person/day for drinking/cooking

System is stable: stable flux observed over 1.5 year

Significant impact of protozoan grazing is more and more observed

•Biofilm structure (Böhme et al., 2009; Garny et al., 2009)

•Granulation (Weber et al., 2007)

•Reactor stability (Aspergen et al., 2010; Duque and Morgenroth, submitted)

•Pathogen removal (Bomo et al., 2009)



Thanks for your attention