

Contents

Authors' list	xxi
Preface	xxxii
<i>Willi Gujer</i>	
Chapter 1	1
Editorial	
<i>Tove A. Larsen, Kai M. Udert and Judit Lienert</i>	
Part I: The advantages of source separation and decentralization	11
Chapter 2	
<i>The energy issue in urban water management</i>	13
<i>Bruce E. Rittmann</i>	
2.1 Introduction – Think Globally and Act Locally	13
2.2 Global Energy Goal	14
2.3 Renewable Energy Sources	15
2.4 Photosynthesis, Biomass, and BOD	15
2.5 Microbial Energy Conversion	16
2.6 Nutrient Recovery	20
2.7 New Biomass from Photosynthetic Microorganisms	21
2.8 Lower Energy Use	23
2.9 The Impact of Source Separation and Decentralization	24
References	25

Chapter 3**Peak phosphorus and the role of P recovery in achieving food security** **29***Dana Cordell*

3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Phosphorus and Global Food Security	29
3.3	Global Phosphorus Scarcity and Pollution	30
3.4	Five Dimensions of Phosphorus Scarcity	32
3.5	Phosphorus Use in the Global Food System	36
3.6	Achieving Phosphorus Security	38
	3.6.1 An integrated approach is required	39
	3.6.2 The role of decentralized sanitation systems	40
	3.6.3 Key challenges and opportunities	40
3.7	Conclusions	41
	References	42

Chapter 4**Nitrogen economy of the 21st Century** **45***Jan Willem Erisman and Tove A. Larsen*

4.1	Introduction	45
4.2	Nitrogen Sources	46
4.3	Release of Nitrogen to the Environment	47
4.4	Environmental Consequences	51
4.5	The Future and Possible Interventions	53
4.6	Conclusions	55
	References	56

Chapter 5**Urban water supply under expanding water scarcity** **59***Malin Falkenmark and Jun Xia*

5.1	Introduction	59
5.2	Water Supply of Urban Areas	59
	5.2.1 On the verge of a new water scarcity	61
5.3	Implications of Increasing Competition?	62
5.4	Adapting to Increasing Water Shortage	63
5.5	Reasonable Blue-Water Allocation	65
5.6	Irrigation Potential	67
5.7	Additive Versus Competing Water Uses	68
5.8	Conclusion	68

Acknowledgement	69
References	69

Chapter 6

The issue of micropollutants in urban water management

71

Klaus Kümmerer

6.1 Introduction	71
6.2 Parent Compounds, Metabolites and Transformation Products	72
6.3 Classification	73
6.4 Some Examples of Micropollutants	73
6.4.1 Flame retardants	74
6.4.2 Biocides and pesticides	74
6.4.3 Endocrine disrupting chemicals	75
6.4.4 Anti-corrosive additives	76
6.4.5 Personal care products	76
6.4.6 Perfluorinated surfactants – PFOS and PFOA	76
6.4.7 Pharmaceuticals	76
6.4.8 Artificial sweeteners	77
6.4.9 Engineered nanoparticles	77
6.5 Management Options	78
6.5.1 Technology	78
6.5.2 Education and training	78
6.5.3 Source separation	79
6.5.4 Benign by design	81
References	83

Chapter 7

Full costs, (dis-)economies of scale and the price of uncertainty

85

Max Maurer

7.1 Introduction	85
7.2 Conveyance-Based Wastewater Treatment	86
7.3 (dis-)Economies of Scale	88
7.4 Deficits of the Net Present Value Method	90
7.5 The Cost of Uncertainty	92
7.6 On-Site Treatment Systems	94
7.7 Conclusions	97
References	98

Chapter 8***The rationale for decentralization of wastewater infrastructure*****101***George Tchobanoglous and Harold Leverenz*

8.1	Types of Wastewater Infrastructure	101
8.2	Centralized Treatment Systems	102
8.3	Distributed Centralized Systems	102
8.4	Centralized Systems with Satellites	104
8.4.1	Implementation of satellite systems	108
8.5	Decentralized Systems	108
8.5.1	Types of decentralized wastewater systems	108
8.5.2	Historical development of decentralized systems	109
8.5.3	Modern development of decentralized systems	109
8.5.4	Advantages and disadvantages of decentralization	110
8.5.5	Continued developments in decentralized systems	111
8.5.6	Future evolution of decentralized wastewater systems	111
8.6	The Future	112
8.7	Summary	114
	References	115

Chapter 9***Cities of the global South – is decentralized sanitation a solution?*****117***Barbara Evans*

9.1	Introduction	117
9.2	Centralized Systems	119
9.3	Unbundling	121
9.3.1	The value chain	121
9.3.2	Vertical unbundling	122
9.3.3	Horizontal unbundling	123
9.4	Decentralization	124
9.5	Technologies	124
9.6	Creating Incentives	127
9.6.1	Contractual incentives	127
9.6.2	Financial incentives	128
9.6.3	Political incentives	128
9.6.4	Professional incentives	129
9.7	Summary	129
	References	131

Part II: The challenges of source separation and decentralization 133

Chapter 10

Implementation of source separation and decentralization in cities 135

Tove A. Larsen and Willi Gujer

10.1	Introduction	135
10.2	The Main Advantages of Source Separation and Decentralization in Cities	137
10.3	Challenges of Source Separation and Decentralization in Cities	138
10.3.1	The challenge of transport	139
10.3.2	The challenge of developing treatment processes	141
10.4	Transition	146
10.5	Conclusions	148
	References	148

Chapter 11

Hygiene, a major challenge for source separation and decentralization 151

Thor Axel Stenström

11.1	Introduction	151
11.2	Hazard Identification in a System Perspective	153
11.3	Human Exposure Assessment	155
11.4	Treatment Barriers and Examples of Their Reduction Efficiency	156
11.5	Quantifications of Risks and Risk-Benefit Strategies	158
11.6	Future Challenges and Knowledge Gaps	159
	References	159

Chapter 12

Closing the loop: Recycling nutrients to agriculture 163

Håkan Jönsson and Björn Vinnerås

12.1	Nutrient Balance Close to Crop Removal	163
12.2	Source-Separated Toilet Wastes are Unique Biological Fertilizers	167
12.3	Nutrient Requirements and Fertilizers Used in Practice	167

12.4	Economic and GWP Value of Nutrients	170
12.5	Urine is Very Low in Pollutants	171
12.6	Low Hygiene Risk	172
12.7	Spreading Machinery	173
12.8	The Farmer – Businessman, Soil Steward and Entrepreneur	175
	References	176

Chapter 13

***The potential of control and monitoring*** **179**

Gustaf Olsson

13.1	Introduction	179
	13.1.1 Instrumentation, control and automation aspects	181
13.2	The Influent	182
13.3	Treatment Technologies	183
13.4	Instrumentation	184
13.5	Monitoring	185
13.6	Actuators	186
13.7	Operating Competence	186
13.8	The Need for Standardization	187
13.9	Conclusions	189
	References	190

Chapter 14

***High acceptance of source-separating technologies – but ...*** **193**

Judit Lienert

14.1	Introduction	193
14.2	Social Science Methods	194
	14.2.1 Quantitative questionnaire surveys	195
	14.2.2 Qualitative methods	197
14.3	Acceptance of Nomix Technology	198
	14.3.1 Some results from qualitative approaches	198
	14.3.2 Results from quantitative approaches	200
14.4	Acceptance of Urine-Based Fertilizers	202
14.5	Technology Requirements and Outlook	203
	14.5.1 Drawbacks of NoMix toilets for users	203
14.6	Conclusions	204
	References	205

Chapter 15***Market success of on-site treatment: a systemic innovation problem* 209***Bernhard Truffer, Christian Binz, Heiko Gebauer
and Eckhard Störmer*

15.1	Introduction	209
15.2	The Systemic Innovation Problem	210
15.3	The German On-Site Industry	213
15.4	Major Innovation Challenges	215
15.5	Three Potential Trajectories	217
15.6	Conclusions	220
	References	222

Part III: Potential technologies for source separation 225**Chapter 16*****Conceptualizing sanitation systems to account for new complexities in processing and management* 227***Elizabeth Tilley*

16.1	Introduction	227
16.2	Emerging Products	227
16.3	Functional Groups for Targeted Product Processing	229
	16.3.1 User interface	230
	16.3.2 Collection and storage	230
	16.3.3 Conveyance	231
	16.3.4 Treatment	231
	16.3.5 Use and disposal	232
16.4	Operation and Management: Implications for System Boundaries	234
	16.4.1 User interface	234
	16.4.2 Collection and storage	234
	16.4.3 Conveyance	235
	16.4.4 Treatment	237
	16.4.5 Use and disposal	237
16.5	Conclusions and Recommendations	238
	References	238

Chapter 17**Wastewater composition** **241***Eran Friedler, David Butler and Yuval Alfya*

17.1	Introduction	241
17.2	Domestic Wastewater Flows	241
17.3	Wastewater Flow Patterns	244
17.4	Blackwater	246
	17.4.1 Yellowwater	246
	17.4.2 Brownwater	248
17.5	Greywater	249
17.6	Proportional Contribution of Nutrients and Organics	253
17.7	Discussion and Significance	254
	References	254

Chapter 18**Treatment of the solid fraction** **259***Ralf Otterpohl and Christopher Buzie*

18.1	Introduction	259
18.2	Composition of Faecal Solids	259
18.3	Treatment Goals	260
18.4	Composting	261
	18.4.1 Process description	261
	18.4.2 Stage of development	262
	18.4.3 Operational requirements	262
	18.4.4 Environmental and health concerns	263
	18.4.5 Configurations	263
18.5	Vermicomposting	264
	18.5.1 Process description	264
	18.5.2 Stage of development	264
	18.5.3 Operational requirements	265
	18.5.4 Environmental and health concerns	265
	18.5.5 Configurations	266
18.6	Terra Preta Sanitation	266
	18.6.1 Process description and stage of development	266
	18.6.2 Operational requirements	267
	18.6.3 Environmental and health concerns	267
	18.6.4 Configuration	267
18.7	Dehydration	268
	18.7.1 Process description	268
	18.7.2 Stage of development	268
	18.7.3 Operational requirements	268

18.7.4	Environmental and health concerns	269
18.7.5	Configurations	269
18.8	Pasteurization	269
18.9	Conclusions and Outlook	270
	References	270

Chapter 19

Aerobic elimination of organics and pathogens: greywater treatment 275

Bruce Jefferson and Paul Jeffrey

19.1	Introduction	275
19.2	Composition and Treatability	276
19.2.1	Organic compounds	276
19.2.2	Xenobiotics	278
19.2.3	Pathogens	279
19.3	Technologies for Aerobic Treatment	280
19.3.1	Removal of organic compounds	281
19.3.2	Xenobiotics removal	283
19.3.3	Pathogen removal	285
19.4	Conclusions	286
	References	287

Chapter 20

Biological nitrogen conversion processes 291

Kai M. Udert and Sarina Jenni

20.1	Introduction	291
20.2	Biological Nitrogen Conversion	291
20.2.1	Nitrogen uptake	291
20.2.2	Nitrification	292
20.2.3	Heterotrophic denitrification	293
20.2.4	Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Anammox)	294
20.3	Nitrogen Stabilization in Urine	295
20.3.1	Conditions in stored urine	295
20.3.2	Nitrification without base dosage	295
20.3.3	Complete ammonia oxidation with base dosage	296
20.3.4	Use of nitrified urine	297
20.4	Nitrogen Removal from Urine	297
20.4.1	Nitritation/anammox in a two-reactor set-up	298
20.4.2	Nitritation/anammox in a single reactor	298

20.5	Nitrogen Removal from Blackwater	299
20.5.1	Blackwater collected in vacuum toilets	299
20.5.2	Conventionally collected blackwater	301
20.6	Conclusions	302
	References	302

Chapter 21

Anaerobic treatment of source-separated domestic wastewater 307

Grietje Zeeman and Katarzyna Kujawa-Roeleveld

21.1	Introduction	307
21.2	The Anaerobic Conversion Process	308
21.3	Reactor Types	308
21.3.1	Reactors without enhanced biomass retention	308
21.3.2	Reactors with enhanced biomass retention	310
21.4	Anaerobic Treatment of Black- and Brownwater	312
21.4.1	Maximum process temperature	312
21.4.2	Removal of organic substances and methane recovery for different waste streams	313
21.4.3	Boosting energy production by adding kitchen waste	315
21.4.4	Nutrient recovery and removal	316
21.4.5	Removal of pharmaceuticals and hormones	316
21.5	Anaerobic Treatment of Greywater	317
21.6	Conclusions	318
	References	318

Chapter 22

Electrochemical systems 321

Kai M. Udert, Shelley Brown-Malker and Jürg Keller

22.1	Introduction	321
22.2	Electrochemical Oxidation and Reduction Processes	321
22.3	Oxidation and Reduction of Pollutants	322
22.3.1	Suitable anode materials	322
22.3.2	Electrochemical ammonia oxidation	322
22.3.3	Electrochemical urea degradation	323
22.3.4	Influence of urea hydrolysis	323
22.3.5	Removal of organic pollutants and pathogens	324
22.4	Electrochemical Dissolution of Metals	324
22.4.1	Electrocoagulation	324

22.4.2	Electrochemical precipitation of phosphate from urine	325
22.5	Bioelectrochemical Processes	325
22.5.1	Electroactive bacteria	325
22.5.2	Reactor set-ups	326
22.5.3	Advantages and challenges	326
22.6	Use of Bioelectrochemical Systems for Wastewater Containing Organic Solids	326
22.6.1	Degradation of complex organic substrates	326
22.6.2	Combining bioelectrochemical systems with organic solids pre-treatment	327
22.7	Bioelectrochemical Urine Treatment	328
22.7.1	Influence of urine composition	328
22.7.2	Ammonium exchange	329
22.7.3	Inhibition by ammonia	329
22.7.4	Sulfate removal	329
22.7.5	Micropollutant removal	330
22.8	Energy Conversion and Degradation Rates	330
22.9	Conclusions	332
	References	332

Chapter 23

Transfer into the gas phase: ammonia stripping

337

Hansruedi Siegrist, Michele Laureni and Kai M. Udert

23.1	Introduction	337
23.2	Wastewaters with High Ammonia Content	337
23.3	Chemical Equilibria	339
23.3.1	Acid-base equilibrium	339
23.3.2	Gas exchange equilibrium	341
23.4	Ammonia Stripping with Air	342
23.5	Ammonia Stripping with Air and Carbon Dioxide Pre-Stripping	344
23.6	Ammonia Stripping from Stored Urine	345
23.6.1	Stripping reactors with adsorption in acid	345
23.6.2	Steam stripping	346
23.6.3	Passive ammonia stripping in urine-collecting systems	347
23.7	Products of Ammonia Stripping	348
23.8	Conclusions	349
	References	349

Chapter 24***Transfer into the solid phase* 351***Işık Kabdaşlı, Olcay Tüinay and Kai M. Udert*

24.1	Introduction	351
24.2	Struvite Precipitation	351
24.2.1	Conditions for struvite formation	352
24.2.2	Magnesium sources	352
24.2.3	Struvite precipitation in urine	353
24.2.4	Struvite precipitation in blackwater	353
24.2.5	Micropollutants and pathogens	354
24.2.6	Use of struvite	354
24.3	Other Precipitation Processes	355
24.4	Phosphate Adsorption	355
24.4.1	Phosphate removal with adsorption	355
24.4.2	Applications	355
24.4.3	Adsorbents	356
24.4.4	Mechanisms	356
24.4.5	Phosphorus recovery	357
24.5	Nutrient Removal by Ion Exchange	357
24.5.1	Operational conditions	357
24.5.2	Ion exchange in source-separated urine	358
24.5.3	Amount of zeolite	359
24.5.4	Blackwater and greywater	359
24.5.5	Use of zeolites as fertilizer carrier	359
24.6	Concentration Processes	360
24.6.1	Freeze and thaw	360
24.6.2	Electrodialysis	360
24.6.3	Reverse osmosis	360
24.6.4	Distillation	361
24.6.5	Energy demand	361
24.7	Concluding Remarks	361
	References	362

Chapter 25***Membrane processes* 367***Gregory Leslie and Zenah Bradford-Hartke*

25.1	Introduction	367
25.2	Basic Features of Membrane Systems	368
25.2.1	Precautions for decentralized systems	369
25.3	Decentralized Applications	369
25.3.1	Introduction	369
25.3.2	Greywater	369

25.3.3	Blackwater	371
25.3.4	Source-separated urine	374
25.3.5	Combined wastewater	375
25.4	Industry Trends	377
25.4.1	Accounting for the cost of carbon	377
25.4.2	Increased monitoring and regulation of trace contaminants	379
25.4.3	Application of risk management procedures	379
25.5	Conclusions	379
	References	380

Chapter 26

Chemical oxidation processes 383

Urs von Gunten

26.1	Introduction	383
26.1.1	Common chemical oxidants	383
26.1.2	Oxidation/disinfection by-products	384
26.1.3	Kinetics of oxidation/disinfection processes	385
26.1.4	Transformation products and their biological activity	388
26.2	Application of Oxidation Processes to Source-Separated Waste Streams	389
26.2.1	General considerations	389
26.2.2	Efficiency of oxidation/disinfection processes: role of water matrix components	389
26.2.3	Efficiency of oxidation/disinfection with ozone: the role of DOC concentration	390
26.2.4	Application of oxidation/disinfection processes to source-separated urine	392
26.2.5	Application of oxidation/disinfection processes to greywater	392
26.2.6	Application of oxidation/disinfection to effluents of biological reactors	392
26.3	Conclusions	393
	References	393

Chapter 27

Enhanced fractionation of mixed wastewater as an alternative to separation at the source 399

Willy Verstraete, Vasileios Diamantis and Bert Bundervoet

27.1	Introduction	399
------	--------------	-----

27.2	Motives for Up-Concentration	400
27.3	Approaches for Small Communities	401
27.3.1	Fractionation of mixed wastewater	401
27.3.2	Post-treatment options for water reuse	404
27.3.3	The potential for energy recovery	405
27.3.4	The potential for nutrient recovery	405
27.4	Approaches at Household Level	406
27.5	The Future of Membrane Filtration	407
27.6	Summary	408
	Acknowledgement	409
	References	409

Part IV: The international experience 413

Chapter 28

The Swedish experience with source

***separation* 415**

B. Vinnerås and H. Jönsson

28.1	The Early 1990s – Introduction of UD	415
28.2	Late 1990s To Present – On-Site Sanitation	416
28.3	Three Typical Swedish Cases Studies	419
28.3.1	Single household with local reuse of urine and faeces	419
28.3.2	Local blackwater system for eco-fertilizer production	419
28.3.3	Municipality demanding source separation (Tanum)	420
28.4	Conclusions	421
	References	421

Chapter 29

Practical experience with source separation in

***Germany* 423**

Jörg Londong

29.1	Background	423
29.2	The DWA Story	423
29.3	The Pilot Plant Story	424
29.3.1	Pilot projects with anaerobic digestion of blackwater	424

29.3.2	Pilot projects with urine source separation	427
29.4	Necessity For Technical Improvements and Scientific Research	428
29.5	Perspective	429
	References	429

Chapter 30

The Netherlands: “Nieuwe Sanitatie” **431**

D. (Bjartur) Swart and A. J. (Bert) Palsma

30.1	Introduction	431
30.2	“New Sanitation” in the Netherlands	431
30.3	From Research to Implementation	434
	References	437

Chapter 31

Source control and source separation: the Swiss experience **439**

Markus Boller

31.1	Introduction	439
31.2	Drivers for Change in Switzerland	439
31.3	Case Studies in Switzerland	441
31.3.1	On-site wastewater treatment and reuse	441
31.3.2	Urine separation and processing	443
31.3.3	Energy and water autarky	444
31.4	What Did We Learn?	444
	References	445

Chapter 32

Development of decentralized systems in Australia **447**

Ted Gardner and Ashok Sharma

32.1	Introduction	447
32.2	Drivers for Decentralization	447
32.3	Overview of Decentralized Systems	448
32.3.1	Cluster Scale Developments	448
32.3.2	Urine-Separating Toilets	452
32.4	Conclusions	452
	References	453

Chapter 33**Source separation in middle- and low-income countries** **455***Christoph Lüthi and Arne Panesar*

33.1	Introduction	455
33.2	Drivers for Source Separation in Middle- and Low-Income Countries	456
33.3	Examples of Scaled-Up Dissemination of Source Separation in Africa and Asia	457
33.3.1	Scaling-up urine diverting toilets in peri-urban areas of eThekweni, South Africa	457
33.3.2	Community-led water and environmental sanitation improvement in Shaanxi, China	459
33.4	Conclusion and Outlook	461
	References	461

Part V: The paradigm shift **463****Chapter 34****Why question the prevailing paradigm of wastewater management?** **465***M Bruce Beck*

34.1	Introduction	465
34.2	Imagining the City as a Force for Good in its Environment	467
34.3	Source Separation and Decentralization	468
34.4	Social Science and Economics	470
34.5	Conclusions	471
	References	472

Chapter 35**How to spur innovation?** **475***Peter A. Wilderer*

35.1	Why Innovation?	475
35.2	Theory of Innovation	477
35.3	Sustainable Innovation	478
35.4	Sustainable Wastewater Management	479
35.5	Spurring Sustainable Innovation	482
	References	482

Index **485**

Authors' List

Yuval Alfiya

Technion – Israel Institute of Technology
Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Department of Environmental, Water and Agricultural Engineering
Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel
alfiya@tx.technion.ac.il

Prof. Dr. M. Bruce Beck

University of Georgia
Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources
Athens, Georgia 30602, USA
mbbeck@uga.edu

Dr. Christian Binz

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Innovation Research in Utility Sectors (Cirus)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
christian.binz@eawag.ch

Prof. em. Dr. Markus Boller

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Department of Urban Water Management (SWW)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
markus.boller@emeriti.eawag.ch

Zenah Bradford-Hartke

The University of New South Wales
School of Chemical Engineering *and* UNESCO Centre for Membrane
Science and Technology
Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia
zenah@unsw.edu.au

Dr. Shelley Brown-Malker

Very Small Particle Company Ltd
Brisbane, Queensland 4076, Australia
shelleyb@vspc.com

Bert Bundervoet

Ghent University
Laboratory Microbial Ecology and Technology (LabMET)
9000 Ghent, Belgium
b.bundervoet@colsen.nl

Prof. Dr. David Butler

University of Exeter
Centre for Water Systems
Exeter, EX4 4QF, United Kingdom
d.butler@exeter.ac.uk

Dr. Christopher A. Buzie

Hamburg University of Technology
Institute of Wastewater Management and Water Protection
21072 Hamburg, Germany
christopher.buzie-fru@tu-harburg.de

Dr. Dana Cordell

University of Technology, Sydney (UTS)
Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF)
Sydney, New South Wales 2007, Australia
dana.cordell@uts.edu.au

Dr. Vasileios Diamantis

Democritus University of Thrace
Department of Environmental Engineering
Laboratory of Wastewater Management and Treatment Technologies
67100 Xanthi, Greece
bdiamant@env.duth.gr

Prof. Dr. Jan Willem Erisman

Louis Bolk Institute
3972 LA Driebergen, The Netherlands
j.erisman@louisbolk.nl

and

VU University Amsterdam
Department of Earth Sciences
1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Barbara Evans

University of Leeds
School of Civil Engineering
Water Engineering and Environment
Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom
b.e.evans@leeds.ac.uk

Prof. Dr. Malin Falkenmark

Stockholm International Water Institute
111 51 Stockholm, Sweden
malin.falkenmark@siwi.org

Prof. Dr. Eran Friedler

Technion – Israel Institute of Technology
Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Department of Environmental, Water and Agricultural Engineering
Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel
eranf@techunix.technion.ac.il

Dr. Ted Gardner

Central Queensland University
Institute for Resource Industries and Sustainability
Rockhampton, Queensland 4702, Australia
e.gardner@cqu.edu.au

Dr. Heiko Gebauer

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Innovation Research in Utility Sectors (Cirus)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
heiko.gebauer@eawag.ch

Prof. em. Dr. Willi Gujer

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich (ETHZ)
Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering (BAUG)
Institute of Environmental Engineering
8093 Zürich, Switzerland
gujer@ifu.baug.ethz.ch

Prof. Dr. Bruce Jefferson

Cranfield University
Cranfield Water Science Institute
Cranfield, MK43 0AL, United Kingdom
b.jefferson@cranfield.ac.uk

Prof. Dr. Paul Jeffrey

Cranfield University
Cranfield Water Science Institute
Cranfield, MK43 0AL, United Kingdom
p.j.jeffrey@cranfield.ac.uk

Sarina Jenni

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Process Engineering Department (Eng)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
sarina.jenni@eawag.ch

Prof. Dr. Håkan Jönsson

SLU - Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences
Department of Energy and Technology
750 07 Uppsala, Sweden
hakan.jonsson@slu.se

Prof. Dr. Işık Kabdaşlı

İstanbul Technical University
Civil Engineering Faculty
Environmental Engineering Department
34469 İstanbul, Turkey
kabdasli@itu.edu.tr

Prof. Dr. Jürg Keller

The University of Queensland
Advanced Water Management Centre (AWMC)
Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia
j.keller@uq.edu.au

Prof. Dr. Klaus Kümmerer

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg
Institute of Sustainable and Environmental Chemistry
21335 Lüneburg, Germany
klaus.kuemmerer@uni.leuphana.de

Dr. Katarzyna Kujawa-Roeleveld

Wageningen University
Agrotechnology and Food Sciences Group
Sub-department of Environmental Technology *and* Lettinga Associates
Foundation (LeAF)
6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands
katarzyna.kujawa@wur.nl

Dr. Tove A. Larsen

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Department of Urban Water Management (SWW)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
tove.larsen@eawag.ch

Michele Laurenzi

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Process Engineering Department (Eng)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
michele.laurenzi@eawag.ch

Prof. Dr. Gregory Leslie

The University of New South Wales
School of Chemical Engineering *and* UNESCO Centre for Membrane
Science and Technology
Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia
g.leslie@unsw.edu.au

Dr. Harold Leverenz

University of California at Davis
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Davis, California 95616, USA
hlleverenz@ucdavis.edu

Dr. Judit Lienert

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Department of Environmental Social Sciences (ESS)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
judit.lienert@eawag.ch

Prof. Dr. Jörg Londong

Bauhaus-Universität Weimar
Department of Urban Water Management and Sanitation
99423 Weimar, Germany

Dr. Christoph Lüthi

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Water and Sanitation in Developing Countries (Sandec)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
christoph.luethi@eawag.ch

Prof. Dr. Max Maurer

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Department of Urban Water Management (SWW)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
max.maurer@eawag.ch

and

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich (ETHZ)
Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering
Institute of Environmental Engineering
8093 Zürich, Switzerland

Prof. em. Dr. Gustaf Olsson

Lund University
Department of Measurement Technology and Industrial Electrical Engineering
(MIE)
Division of Industrial Electrical Engineering and Automation (IEA)
221 00 Lund, Sweden
gustaf.olsson@iea.lth.se

Prof. Dr. Ralf Otterpohl

Hamburg University of Technology
Institute of Wastewater Management and Water Protection
21072 Hamburg, Germany
otterpohl@tu-harburg.de

Dr. Bert Palsma

STOWA, Dutch Foundation for Applied Water Research
3800 CD, Amersfoort, The Netherlands
palsma@stowa.nl

Dr. Arne R. Panesar

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH
Department Asia and Latin America
65760 Eschborn, Germany
arne.panesar@giz.de

Prof. Dr. Bruce E. Rittmann

Arizona State University
Swette Center for Environmental Biotechnology
Biodesign Institute
Tempe, Arizona 85287, USA
rittmann@asu.edu

Prof. Dr. Hansruedi Siegrist

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Process Engineering Department (Eng)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
hansruedi.siegrist@eawag.ch

Dr. Ashok Sharma

Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia
Land and Water Division
Highett, Victoria 3190, Australia
ashok.sharma@csiro.au

Prof. Dr. Thor Axel Stenström

Stockholm Environment Institute
Bioresources Group
106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
thor.axel.stenstrom@sei.se

and

Norwegian University of Life Sciences
Department of Mathematical Science and Technology
1432 Ås, Norway

Dr. Eckhard Störmer

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Innovation Research in Utility Sectors (Cirus)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
stoermer@z-punkt.de

Bjartur Swart

STOWA, Dutch Foundation for Applied Water Research
3800 CD Amersfoort, The Netherlands

and

MWH North Europe
2612 PA Delft, The Netherlands
bjartur.swart@nl.mwhglobal.com

Prof. em. Dr. George Tchobanoglous

University of California at Davis
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Davis, California 95616, USA
gtchobanoglous@ucdavis.edu

Elizabeth Tilley

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science
and Technology
Department of Water and Sanitation in Developing
Countries (Sandec)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
elizabeth.tilley@eawag.ch

and

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich (ETHZ)
Centre for Development and Cooperation (NADEL)
8092 Zürich, Switzerland

Prof. Dr. Bernhard Truffer

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science
and Technology
Innovation Research in Utility Sectors (Cirus)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
bernhard.truffer@eawag.ch

Prof. Dr. Olcay Tünay

İstanbul Technical University
Civil Engineering Faculty
Environmental Engineering Department
34469 İstanbul, Turkey
tunayol@itu.edu.tr

Dr. Kai M. Udert

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology
Process Engineering Department (Eng)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
kai.udert@eawag.ch

Prof. em. Dr. Willy Verstraete

Ghent University
Laboratory Microbial Ecology and Technology (LabMET)
9000 Gent, Belgium
willy.verstraete@ugent.be

Prof. Dr. Björn Vinnerås

SLU - Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences
Department of Energy and Technology
750 07, Uppsala, Sweden
bjorn.vinneras@slu.se

Prof. Dr. Urs von Gunten

Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and
Technology
Department of Water Resources and Drinking Water (W+T)
8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
urs.vongunten@eawag.ch

and

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental
Engineering (ENAC)
Institute of Environmental Engineering
1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Prof. em. Dr. Peter A. Wilderer

Technische Universität München
Institute for Advanced Study
85748 Garching, Germany
peter.wilderer@mytum.de

Prof. Dr. Jun Xia

Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)
Center for Water Resources Research *and* Key Laboratory of Water Cycle
and Related Surface Processes
100101, Beijing, China
xiaj@igsrr.ac.cn

Prof. Dr. Grietje Zeeman

Wageningen University
Agrotechnology and Food Sciences Group
Sub-department of Environmental Technology *and* Lettinga Associates
Foundation (LeAF)
6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands
grietje.zeeman@wur.nl

Preface

Until 20 years ago, the combination of the term “source separation” and “wastewater” was hardly found in the scientific literature. Source separation was mainly an issue for those interested in the treatment of solid waste. Today, source separation is one of the most exciting developments in the area of wastewater treatment, driven by the increasingly visible resource restrictions of the 21st century.

The book, which you now hold in your hands, is a landmark in this development. It summarizes in a systematic and thorough way the advantages and challenges of source separation and shows that this notion is inherently connected to decentralization, another of today’s important issues. The book, however, does not stay conceptual. As a process engineer by education and by heart, I have enjoyed immensely the technology part, where a whole new world of processes and techniques opens up. Most of the new technologies not only ensure safe discharge, but also allow for the recovery of precious resources.

From the chapters on the international experience, I realized how many different drivers and challenges all lead in the direction of source separation and decentralization. Although I was aware of many of the pilot projects from the literature, I am impressed by this condensed presentation of more than a decade of experience in a number of different countries. And I must agree with my colleagues of a life-time, Peter Wilderer and Bruce Beck, who in the two last chapters both dare to pronounce the possibility of a paradigm shift in wastewater treatment: It certainly looks as if a new field has evolved.

I wish you an inspiring reading and learning experience and hope that the next generation of wastewater professionals will develop this innovative and exciting approach into maturity. The world desperately needs a new approach to wastewater management and based on my experience, I predict that this approach will include source separation and decentralization.

Willi Gujer